

Correspondence.

To the Editor.

TEA CULTIVATION: MANURING EXPERIMENTS.

London, E.O., May 25.

DEAR SIR,—Thank you for the copy of Bamber's book on the Chemistry and Agriculture of Tea. It appears to be an interesting and highly suggestive work and I shall have much pleasure in carefully reading it through.

As regards the MANURING EXPERIMENTS referred to on pages 87 to 93, a brief examination is sufficient to impress one with the following points:—

1. That the manures use were both too concentrated and applied in too large a quantity.
2. That the increased yield from the application of such manure was naturally confined to the 1st and 2nd years.

3. That in calculating the profit due to such manuring a serious mistake has been made in treating the increased yield of tea as net profit, from which the cost of the manure only should be deducted, whereas it should have been treated as a gross profit from which the extra cost of manufacture, packages and carriage to place of sale, as well as the actual cost of the manure should be deducted before arriving at the net profit due to manuring. Thus on page 90 experiments with cotton seed on a garden at Chandore, Ohittagong in 1882 and 1883 are given as follows from $\frac{1}{2}$ acre plots:—

COTTON SEED (FERMENTED).

Plot A received 2 lb. per bush equal to 68 maunds per acre.

Taking a maund at 82 lb. this would represent 5,576 lb. per acre, an enormous dressing which not even a Jersey farmer would think profitable to apply to raise a valuable crop like early potatoes for the London market.

In Plot B, however, as much as 3 lb. of cotton seed per bush were applied, equal to 102 maunds per acre.

In Plot C the cotton seed was reduced to 1 lb. and mixed with 5 lb. of Gobur per bush, making the full dressing up to 204 maunds per acre, on upwards of 7 tons!

Now let us see what the returns from these extraordinary dressing were:

RETURNS PER ACRE 1ST SEASON 1882.

	Plot A	Plot B	Plot C
Tea from manured plot lb.	694	831	780
Tea from unmanured plot „	564	554	633
Increase from manure „	130	277	97
Increase per cent „	23	50	15

RETURNS PER ACRE 2ND SEASON 1893.

	A	B	C
Tea from managed plot lb.	471	571	500
Tea from unmanured plot „	457	427	438
Increase from manure „	14	144	62
Increase per cent „	3	33½	14

It is stated that the results from these plots were not registered after the 2nd season; but it was noticeable that the bushes were benefited through the third year, but (though it is much to be regretted) that no figures have been given.

Coming to the profits we find the following statement:—

PROFITS FOR THE 1ST YEAR.

	A	B	C
	R	R	R
Manured plot—tea at 8 annas per lb.	347	415	365
Unmanured plot „	282	277	317
	65	138	48

Less total cost of manure	48	71	40
Amount in favour of manured plot	17	67	8
Profit per acre per cent on cost of manure	36½	94½	22
PROFIT FOR THE 2ND YEAR.			
	A	B	C
	R	R	R

Manured plot—tea at 8 annas per lb.	235	285	250
Unmanured plot „ „	228	213	219

Less total cost of manure	7	72	31
	nil	nil	nil

Amount in favour of manured plot	7	72	31
Profit per acre per cent on cost of manure	15	101	77

The above figures are accompanied by the following statement:—“These experiments show that manuring in certain cases is profitable and that a comparatively large outlay in the first instance as in the case Plot B is more profitable in the end than the smaller outlay for plot A.

This is an important statement to make, and before planters accept it as a fact the figures quoted should be inquired into.

If we assume the extra cost of manufacture, packing and cost of freight to place of sale to be 25 cents (R½) per lb. we have to add the following for the 1st year:—

Plot A 130 lb. at 25 cents =	R32½
Do B 277 do do =	69½
Do C 97 do do =	24½

And if these figures are added to the cost of the manure it will be seen that the total exceeds the money realised by the sale of the increased yield of tea from manuring thus:—

In Plot A R32½ added to R47 cost of manure =	R79½
Do B 69½ do 71 do do =	140½
Do C 24½ do 40 do do =	64½

And if these figures are deducted from those representing the value of the tea yielded by manuring, there appears a loss rather than a profit for the first year.

In assuming 25 cents as the cost of producing the extra yield of tea, I may be putting too high a figure; but that planter can make allowance for and only wish to point out what appears to me to be a serious mistake in estimating the true value of the extra quantity of tea resulting from manuring.

Judicious and regular manuring no doubt will be found to pay, inasmuch as it tends to permanently improve the fertility of the soil; but excessive manuring such as that referred to in these Ohittagong experiments must be regarded as wasteful and positively injurious by reason of the exhausting tendencies which must be specially marked in a hot and forcing climate like that of India and Ceylon.

In a future communication I shall hope to refer more fully to these Manuring Experiments as well as to other points of interest in Mr. Bamber's book.—Yours faithfully,

JOHN HUGHES.

NEWS FROM BRITISH NORTH BORNEO.

Kandy, June 15.

DEAR SIR,—The Japanese Consul Mr. T. J. Nakagawa has visited Sandakan to make himself acquainted with the position of the Japanese who have emigrated to North Borneo. He has been struck with the capabilities of the territory for supplying what is likely to be much wanted in Japan, viz., sugar for the proposed refineries they

